

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,192	192 07/29/2003		L. Andrew Koman	090928.A198	5683
5073	7590	06/22/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER BO 2001 ROSS			ARAJ, MICHAEL J		
SUITE 600	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980				3733	

DATE MAILED: 06/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(c)					
		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/629,192	KOMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael J. Araj	3733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA: Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	<u>oril 2006</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
,—	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-19 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	n from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attached sheet.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

10/4/2004, 5/10/2004, 7/29/2003

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group I, Claims 1-10, in the reply filed on April 14, 2006 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 11-19 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group, II and III, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on April 14, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 -10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 13, "respective controls" lacks a prior antecedent.

In claim 2, lines 1-2, "the articulated coupling assembly" lacks a prior antecedent.

Art Unit: 3733

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pennig (U.S. Patent No. 4,988,349).

Pennig discloses an external fixation device comprising a first portion and a second portion with a first and second clamp assembly for releasably attaching the respective first and second portions with at least on bone pin and a coupling assembly joining the first and second portion. This coupling assembly can be controlled for incremental rotation in the horizontal plane independent of rotation in the vertical plane and incremental rotation in the vertical plane is independent of rotation in the horizontal plane. The first and second portions are elongated and have generally rectangular cross sections with their respective lengths being substantially the same. Also disclosed are a first control and a second control for incremental positioning of the first and second clamp assembly relative to the coupling assembly, independent of the other clamp. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Pennig which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent

teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983).

Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weiner (U.S. Patent No. 6.056,748).

Weiner discloses an external fixation device comprising a first portion and a second portion with a first and second clamp assembly for releasably attaching the respective first and second portions with at least on bone pin and a coupling assembly joining the first and second portion. This coupling assembly can be controlled for incremental rotation in the horizontal plane independent of rotation in the vertical plane and incremental rotation in the vertical plane is independent of rotation in the horizontal plane. The first and second portions are elongated and have generally rectangular cross sections where the length of the first portion is less than that of the second portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pennig (U.S. Patent No. 4988,349) in view of Squires et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,524,859).

Pennig discloses the claimed invention except for the coupling assemby further comprising two worm gear assemblies. Squires disclose the use of two worm gears to control the operations of the device to allow locks to be eliminated. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the invention of Pennig with two worm gears in view of Squires et al., in order to better control the rotation of the fixator and remove the necessity of having locks to maintain the devices position.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO-892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael J. Araj whose telephone number is 571-272-5963. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MJA

EDUARDO C/ROBERT